

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/508,776		<b>Applicant(s)</b> OKABE, YOSHIMASA	
	<b>Examiner</b> AKLILU k. WOLDEMARIAM		<b>Art Unit</b> 2624	

**All Participants:**

(1) AKLILU k. WOLDEMARIAM.

(2) ANDREW DUNLAP.

**Date of Interview:** 31 October 2008

**Type of Interview:**

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant    ☐ Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:** ☐ Yes    ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:  
N/A

Claims discussed:  
N/A

Prior art documents discussed:  
N/A

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Examiner called and suggested to Applicant's representative to amend claim 10 to over come 35 U.S.C 101 rejection reason for allowance. Applicant's representative disagreed with examiner suggestion.*

**Part III.**

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/AKLILU WOLDEMARIAM./

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)